



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/620,820	07/21/2000		Alan D. Attie	960296.97290	4397
7590 07/28/2004				EXAMINER	
Nicholas J. Se			QIAN, CELINE X		
Quarles & Brad P O Box 2113	iy LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Madison, WI	53701-2	113	1636		
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004	1

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•
I
9

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/620,820	ATTIE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Celine X Qian	1636	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

# A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{3}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and variety to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation of the period period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation of the period period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation of the period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation of the period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation of the period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation of the period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation of the period for reply will be period for reply will be period for reply will be period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation of the period for reply will be period for reply wi	atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepte	ed or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cert	• • •					
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-17 are pending in the application. Claims 13-16 are withdrawn from consideration for being directed to non-elected subject matter. Claims 1-12 and 17 are currently under examination.

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 6/18/04.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/18/04 has been entered.

#### Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 1-12 and 17 under 35 U.S.C.112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph has been withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendment of the claims.

The rejection of claims 1-12 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) is maintained for reasons set forth of the record mailed on 12/17/03 and further discussed below.

## Response to Arguments

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1-12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Twisk et al., in view of Teasdale and Jackson and Attie et al. (5,521,071).

In response to this rejection, Applicants argue that the combination of elements recited by the present claim in fact results in a lowering of serum cholesterol, which is not fairly predictable from prior art. The combined teaching of the cited references does not provide sufficient evidence for reasonable expectation of the success of the claimed invention because of the complex nature of biological system of cholesterol secretion and the uncertainty arising in general with techniques of genetic engineering. Applicants allege that the rejection is an "obvious to try" rejection because the cited art does not make it clear that the claimed process would actually lower serum cholesterol. Applicants thus conclude the claimed invention is not obvious in view of the cited art.

These arguments have been fully considered but deemed unpersuasive. The teachings of Twisk et al., Teasdale and Jackson, and Attie et al. were discussed in detail in the Office Action mailed on 3/25/03 and 12/17/03. The reasons for the obviousness of this rejection have also been discussed in detail in these Office Actions. Briefly, based on the teaching of Twisk et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of art who intend to lower serum cholesterol in a patient to decrease apoB secretion, thus lower LDL synthesis. Although the processing and secretion of cholesterol is a complex area, the mechanisms involved in this process is extensively studied and it is clear that lowering LDL synthesis would lower serum cholesterol. Applicants' argument about LDL receptor not only regulates apoB secretion but also reabsorption is well taken. However, this notion does not in anyway affect the reasonable expectation of success for using the soluble LDL receptor with ER localization signal to enhance pre-secretory degradation

Art Unit: 1636

of apoB, which has been demonstrated by Twisk et al (see Figure 5, and page 527, 1<sup>st</sup> col., 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph). LDL receptor mediated reabsorption also decreases apoB circulation, thus decreases LDL synthesis and lowering serum cholesterol. In response to the uncertainty arising from genetic engineering, Twisk et al. has demonstrated the use of an adenoviral vector encoding LDL receptor for the delivery of said gene to hepatocytes (see page 524, 1<sup>st</sup> col., 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). Absent evidence from the contrary, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success for using a soluble LDL receptor with ER retaining signal to achieve lowering of serum cholesterol. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious in view of the teaching of the cited art, and this rejection is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celine X Qian whose telephone number is 571-272-0777. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1636

Celine Qian, Ph.D.

yez-